

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,802	DANDY, WALTER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor K. Hwang	3764	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Victor K. Hwang. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Walter Dandy. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant initially called on June 29, 2007 to discuss how to respond to the Office action mailed April 2, 2007. Applicant was informed that the Examiner could amend the claims in an Examiner's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claims 23-25 into claim 1 and that if all of the structure of newly amended claim 1 were to be included in the method of claim 12, then claim 12 would also be allowable if claim 1 were allowable. Applicant agreed on July 2, 2007 to the Examiner's suggestion to amend the claims and the specification in an Examiner's amendment to respond to concerns in the outstanding Office action. Applicant also agreed to provide new drawings in a non-photographic format so that the invention could be better viewed. A draft of the proposed Examiner's amendment was provided to Applicant and authorized on July 17, 2007.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.